

STSM ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Each proposal will be assessed independently by the members of the STSM committee and an evaluation score will be given considering the proposal clarity, relevance for the Action, feasibility, planning and outputs. The proposal will be assigned to one of the following categories:

1 - **Very poor:** (0-20 marks)

2 - **Poor:** (21-40 marks)

3 - **Fair:** (41-60 marks)

4 - **Good:** (61-80 marks)

5 - **Excellent:** (81-100 marks)

The proposals falling into categories 4 and 5 will be ranked and those with the highest scores will be financed, subject to the duration of the STSM applications and the available budget. The results will be published in the COST Action website.

Assessment Criteria Details and Weighting (%)

Feasibility of successfully completing the planned activities within the requested duration, including an assessment of the contribution of the applicant's background to the feasibility (25%)

Clear deliverables (15%)

Clear evidence of how the proposed STSM will benefit COST Action ES1306 (30%)

Clear linkage to one or more of the Working Groups of COST Action ES1306 (20%)

Clear evidence of how the proposed STSM will benefit the applicant (10%)

Once approved by the STSM Committee, the Grant Holder will notify the applicant